



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/560,203

12/08/2005

Stephen James Todd

GB920030063US1

3212

45112

7590

06/04/2008

Kunzler & McKenzie
8 EAST BROADWAY
SUITE 600
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

BROPHY, MATTHEW J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2191

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/04/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/560,203	Applicant(s) TODD, STEPHEN JAMES	
	Examiner MATTHEW J. BROPHY	Art Unit 2191	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MATTHEW J. BROPHY.

(3) Frank Newton.

(2) Anna Deng.

(4) Dave McKenzie.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-20.

Identification of prior art discussed: USPN 5,305,289 Palmer.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explained the difference between the invention and the prior art and proposed several amendments. Applicant agreed to correct the drawings and abstract. Applicant and examiners also discussed amendments to overcome §101 and §112 rejections. Examiners agreed to consider the written response and contact the applicant if any examiners amendments are possible.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Wei Y. Zhen/ SPE TC2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required